

United States District Court  
Middle District of Tennessee  
Nashville TN Division

RECEIVED  
IN CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 26 2013

U.S. DISTRICT COURT  
MID. DIST. TENN.

United States of America ; No # 3:11-cr-00207

v. ; Judge Haynes

Latone A. Britton ;

opened  
In light of the  
Order appointing  
new counsel, this

motion is DENIED

Motion for Court Appointed Attorney as moot.

See USDC  
3/11/13

Comes now the "Pro Se" Applicant Latone Britton and moves this Court for Appointment of Competent Counsel and to remove counsel that this Court appointed for lack of performance or concern in Navigating Applicant through the legal hurdles involved in this case.

### Facts

Counsel has been on defendant case since Aug 30, 2011 and hasn't done anything the defendant has asked or behalf of defendant's defense. On Aug 13, 2012 the Court held an Evidentiary hearing, on the defendant's motion. While at that hearing, the defendant asked the Attorney to cross-examine some one the Counsel subpoenaed. Instead he said it would be best not to, being that the Prosecutor didn't bring anything up about the search of the vehicle being that the Counsel didn't cross-examine the Officer gave the prosecutor grounds to re-open the Evidentiary Hearing. Defendant feels the Counsel should have cross-examined the Officer at the hearing. Defendant feels that it's in his best interest